UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

800	Page 1 of 2
	FILED
Į.	
	JUL _ 2 2008
c	LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUT	HERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	Christian Therman

ТО	MILL C DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGI	MACISTRATE	CTD ATE HIDGE	Judge Barry Ted Moskowitz			
FR	OM: J. Hinkle,	Deputy Clerk	MAGISTRATE	RECEIV	ED DATE:	6/26/08		
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv735</u>	DOO	CUMENT FILED	BY:	Plaintiff atty	Matthew Gene		
CASE TITLE: Scott v Chertoff								
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Returned Summons								
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:								
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy						
	5.1	Missing time and da	te on motion and/	or suppor	ting documenta	tion		
	5.3	Document illegible		- •	•			
$\overline{\Box}$	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.							
	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely							
	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document							
$\overline{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions							
	7.1 Missing table of contents							
$\bar{\Box}$	15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself							
	30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order							
	Supplemental documents require court order							
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest							
X	OTHER: No original signature. Also institute of non-compliance issued. It wasfiled along wanter returned summons filed same days.							
	Date forwarded: <u>7/01/08</u>							
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE								
	S HEREBY ORDER							
		o be filed nunc pro tur						
Z	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.							
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers							
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:							
	Dated: 7116b cc: All Parties By:							

United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES SCOTT

vs

MICHAEL CHERTOFF, SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY REJECTED

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
Case No.

'08 CV 0735 BTM JMA

TO: (Name and Address of Defendant)

Michael Chertoff, Secretary Department of Homeland Security

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

Matthew G. English (SBN 204869) LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW G. ENGLISH 7676 Hazard Center Dr Ste 500, San Diego CA 92108

An answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within _____ days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

K. H. Samuel Hamrick, Jr.

K. H. DATE BALA

Summons in a Civil Action

Page 1 of 2